

## **REMARKS**

### **Claim Objections**

The applicant notes that the Office, in its Office Action Summary identifies claims 1, 3-6, 8-14, and 22-23 as subject to an objection. No objections are recited in the Detailed Office Action. The undersigned Attorney for the Applicant called Examiner Jackson for clarification on November 8, 2007. Examiner Jackson noted that this was a typographical error and noted that these claims are allowed.

### **Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103**

The applicant has carefully reviewed the Office's rejection of claim 21. While the applicant respectfully disagrees with the Offices allegations respecting claim 21, the applicant herein cancels claim 21.

Applicant believes the above amendments and remarks to be fully responsive to the Office Action, thereby placing this application in condition for allowance. No new matter is added. Applicant requests speedy reconsideration, and further requests that Examiner contact its attorney by telephone, facsimile, or email for quickest resolution, if there are any remaining issues.

Respectfully submitted,

**/Andrew P. Cernota, Reg. No. 52,711/**

Cus. No. 42716  
Maine & Asmus  
PO Box 3445  
Nashua, NH 03061-3445  
Tel. No. (603) 886-6100, Fax. No. (603) 886-4796  
Patents@maineandasmus.com

Vernon C. Maine, Reg. No. 37,389  
Andrew P. Cernota, Reg. No. 52,711  
David A. Rardin, Reg. No. 52,153  
Attorneys for Applicant